

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,969	06/25/2003	Bradley Birns	3754		
7590 02/09/2006			EXAMINER		
DR. BRADLEY BIMS			GRANT, ALVIN J		
2825 MORNING GLORY LANE DAVIE, FL 33328			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/603,969	BIRNS, BRADLEY
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Alvin J. Grant	3723
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 10-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 10-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.	≣xaminer.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Application/Control Number: 10/603,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-3, 5 and 12-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 12, 17 and 22 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. *The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only.* Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,969 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. **Claim 11** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sowry et al. 6,726,516.

Sowry et al. discloses a method of forming a buoyant wrench (column 2, lines 18-22), including the steps of positioning an engagement end having an engagement region adjacent to a body, and incorporating a reflective material in the body.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 3, 12-14, 16-19 and 21, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frazzle et al. 5,263,389 in view of Sowry et al.

Frazzle et al. discloses a wrench comprising: a body in which is attached a vertical extension at one end and second, third and fourth vertical extension at the opposite end and opposite side, the body having a hole, the vertical extensions angle forward and have an engagement end incorporated therein; the (inherently) buoyant has a specific gravity of less than 1.0. Frazzle et al. does not specifically disclose the body incorporating reflective material. Frazzle et al. discloses a tool, the body of which incorporates reflective material so as to reflect light from a light source. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

to have made the wrench of Frazzle et al. to have a reflective material incorporated in the body thereof as taught by Sowry et al. so as to reflect light from a light source.

8. Claim 5-8, 10, 15, 20 and 22-27, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frazzle et al. 5,263,389 in view of Sowry et al. and in further view of Visser et al. 5,522,290.

Frazzle et al. as modified is described above. The modified Frazzle et al does not specifically disclose that the tool is corrosion resistant. Visser et al. discloses a pair of pliers that is corrosion resistant so as to minimize the occurrence of failure and extend the useful life. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the wrench of the modified Frazzle et al. to have corrosion resistant material as taught by Visser et al. so as to minimize the occurrence of failure and extend the useful life.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-8, 10 and 11-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3723

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Grant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg